

220-141-9180  
PATENT  
7/1  
G.E.  
11/19/80

Attorney Docket No. 4189.0083-03000

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: )  
)  
Kohn et al )  
) Group Art Unit: 1808  
Serial No.: 08/259,413 )  
) Examiner: H. Lilling  
Filed: June 14, 1994 )  
)  
For: PEGYLATION OF REAGENTS AND )  
COMPOUNDS FORMED THEREWITH )

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
BOX DAC  
OFFICE OF PETITIONS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED  
NOV - 6 1996  
GROUP RECEIVED  
FEB 1 1997  
OFFICE OF PETITIONS  
A/C PATENTS

Sir:

PETITION TO REVIVE UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED PATENT  
APPLICATION

Applicants petition to have the above-noted patent application revived since it was unintentionally abandoned. The petition fee of \$1,290.00 is enclosed. An Office Action was mailed October 2, 1995, which set a response period of one month. Applicants unintentionally failed to respond to the Office Action. The attorney at Amgen handling this application, Thomas Zindrick, informed the undersigned that he believed that the undersigned was aware of the Office

Action and was handling the response. Mr. Zindrick is in Amgen's California office and the Office Action was sent to



LAW OFFICES  
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON,  
FARABOW, GARRETT  
& DUNNER, L.L.P.  
1300 I STREET, N. W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005  
202-406-4000

300 SD 1/04276-01259413  
1 141 1,290.00 CK

him from Amgen Boulder in Boulder, CO. To the undersigned's knowledge, the Office Action was not received in the undersigned's office until after the Notice of Abandonment in this application had been sent. Thus, the undersigned was not aware of the Office Action or the due date.

Applicants now present a response to the outstanding Office Action. The application was abandoned unintentionally, and there has been no intentional delay in prosecuting the application. Accordingly, applicants request that the above-noted application be revived.

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

By:



M. Paul Barker  
Reg. No. 32,013

Dated: October 23, 1996